

ATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/428,134	10/26/1999	JASMIN AJANOVIC	042390.P6341	4288	
7590 04/19/2004			EXAMINER		
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			LEFKOWITZ, SUMATI		
12400 WILSHII SEVENTH FLO	RE BOULEVARD OOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	S, CA 900251026		2112	0.0	
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2004	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



				(
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•		09/428,134	AJANOVIC ET AL.	
Office Acti	on Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Sumati Lefkowitz	2112	
The MAILING D	ATE of this c mmunication ap	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STAT THE MAILING DATE C - Extensions of time may be av after SIX (6) MONTHS from ti - If the period for reply specifies - If NO period for reply is speci Failure to reply within the set	or extended period for reply will, by statute ice later than three months after the mailin	136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) No. cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
tatus				
1) Responsive to o	ommunication(s) filed on <u>02 A</u>	pril 2004		
2a) ☐ This action is FII	` '	s action is non-final.		
<u> </u>	<i>'</i> —		atters, prosecution as to the m	erits is
	ance with the practice under I	•	•	
isposition of Claims				
·	0,22-35 and 37-66 is/are pend	ting in the application		
	claim(s) is/are withdra	- ''		
5) Claim(s) i	· · · ———			
	20, 22-35, and 37-66 is/are re	jected.		
	s/are objected to.	•		
	are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Banara				
Application Papers	to the second of the second			
	is objected to by the Examine		to be the Erreston	
	led on is/are: a) ☐ acc			
•	request that any objection to the		•	
	- · · · ·	·	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
11) I he oath or decia	aration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form PTO-	152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119		•	
	t is made of a claim for foreigr ne * c)⊡ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C	:. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. ☐ Certified c	opies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
2. Certified c	opies of the priority documen	ts have been received ir	Application No	
3. Copies of	the certified copies of the pric	ority documents have be	en received in this National Sta	age
application	n from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached	detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies n	ot received.	
Attachment(s)				
) Notice of References Cited	i (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's P	atent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date	
	tement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice (6) ☐ Other: _	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	i2)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date Patent and Trademark Office	<u> </u>		·	
OL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Ma	ail Date 30

Application/Control Number: 09/428,134

Art Unit: 2112

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 2, 4-20, 22-35, and 37-66 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1, 2, 4-20, 22-35, and 37-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bell, 6,088,370 in view of Woods et al., 6,101,566.

As to claims 1, 2, 4-20, 22-35, and 37-66, Bell discloses the invention substantially as claimed, as Bell discloses an interface to transfer data directly between a memory control hub (MCH) and an input/output control hub (ICH) within a computer system, comprising a data

Application/Control Number: 09/428,134

Art Unit: 2112

signal path to transmit data in packets via split transactions, and a set of command signals, wherein the interface provides a point-to-point connection between the MCH and the ICH, exclusive of an external bus connected directly to the interface, wherein information is transmitted in source synchronous clock mode via request and completion packets including transaction descriptors (note Figures 1 and 2A and column 2, line 15 – column 3, line 25, wherein Bell discloses in column 2, lines 20-25 that the controller 115 can function as a bridge between a memory bus to which one or more memory devices are connected. Examiner is taking the controller operating in this manner to be the memory control hub. Bell also discloses in column 2, lines 25-32 that the bus expander bridge 120 is coupled to two PCI buses 122 and 123, both of which have PCI devices coupled thereto. Examiner is taking the PCI devices coupled to the PCI buses to be I/O devices, and therefore the expander bridge 120 reads on the input/output control hub (ICH).)

Page 3

Bell fails to disclose that the ICH is capable of supporting multiple different buses with separate protocols.

Woods discloses a bridge (i.e., ICH) that is capable of supporting multiple, different buses with separate protocols (note Figure 2, bridges 100 and 70).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the use of a bridge capable of supporting multiple different buses, as Woods teaches, in the system of Bell so as to allow for the accommodation of devices of various types, thereby enhancing the flexibility of the system of Bell.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 09/428,134

Art Unit: 2112

134 Page 4

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sumati Lefkowitz whose telephone number is 703-308-7790. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:00-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached at 703-305-4815.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are:

703-746-7238 for After-Final communications

703-872-9306 for Official communications

703-746-5661 for Non-Official/Draft communications

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Sumati Lefkowitz
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2112

S

April 16, 2004